

European Hematology Association Data Update June 2022



Private & confidential

Autolus.com

Disclaimer

These slides contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the "safe harbor" provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements are statements that are not historical facts, and in some cases can be identified by terms such as "may," "will," "could," "expects," "plans," "anticipates," and "believes." These statements include, but are not limited to, statements regarding Autolus' development of the obe-cel program; the future clinical development, efficacy, safety and therapeutic potential of its product candidates, including progress, expectations as to the reporting of data, conduct and timing and potential future clinical activity and milestones; expectations regarding the initiation, design and reporting of data from clinical trials; expectations regarding regulatory approval process for any product candidates; the collaboration between Autolus and Blackstone; the discovery, development and potential commercialization of potential product candidates including obe-cel using Autolus' technology and under the collaboration agreement; the therapeutic potential for Autolus in next generation product developments of obecel in B-cell malignancies; the potential and timing to receive milestone payments and pay royalties under the strategic collaboration; and the Company's anticipated cash runway. Any forward-looking statements are based on management's current views and assumptions and involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results, performance, or events to differ materially from those expressed or implied in such statements. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the risks that Autolus' preclinical or clinical programs do not advance or result in approved products on a timely or cost effective basis or at all; the results of early clinical trials are not always being predictive of future results; the cost, timing and results of clinical trials; that many product candidates do not become approved drugs on a timely or cost effective basis or at all; the ability to enroll patients in clinical trials; possible safety and efficacy concerns; and the impact of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic on Autolus' business. For a discussion of other risks and uncertainties, and other important factors, any of which could cause Autolus' actual results to differ from those contained in the forward-looking statements, see the section titled "Risk Factors" in Autolus' Annual Report on Form 20-F filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 10, 2022, as well as discussions of potential risks, uncertainties, and other important factors in Autolus' subsequent filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. All information in this presentation is as of the date of the release, and Autolus undertakes no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise, except as required by law. You should, therefore, not rely on these forward-looking statements as representing the Company's views as of any date subsequent to the date of this presentation.

Agenda

- Welcome and Introduction: Dr. Christian Itin, CEO
- AUTO4 in T-Cell Lymphoma
 - Review of T Cell Lymphoma Dr. Horwitz
 - AUTO4/5 introduction Dr. Martin Pule, CSO
 - AUTO4 data Dr. Kate Cwynarski
 - Next steps Dr. Martin Pule, CSO
- Obe-cel life cycle management: Dr. Martin Pule, CSO
 - Obe-cel in NHL
 - Obe-cel in PCNSL
 - AUTO1/22 in pediatric ALL
- Summary: Dr. Christian Itin, CEO
- Q&A: Dr. Christian Itin, Dr. Martin Pule and Dr. Edgar Braendle









Dr. Christian Itin Chief Executive Officer

Dr. Martin Pule Chief Scientific Officer

Dr. Edgar Braendle Chief Development Officer



Autelus

Dr. Stephen Horwitz

Peripheral T-cell Lymphomas

Member and Attending Physician Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center Professor of Medicine Weill Cornell Medical College, Cornell University Chair NCCN T-Cell and Cutaneous Lymphoma Guidelines Committee





Autolus.com

Conflicts Of Interest

In the past 12, I have the following relationships:

Consulting:

Acrotech Biopharma, Affimed, C4 Therapeutics, Kyowa Hakko Kirin, Myeloid Therapeutics, ONO Pharmaceuticals, Seattle Genetics, SecuraBio, Shoreline Biosciences, Inc. Takeda, Trillium Therapeutics, Tubulis

<u>Research support</u>: ADC Therapeutics, Affimed, C4 Therapeutics, Celgene, Daiichi Sankyo, Kyowa Hakko Kirin, Millennium /Takeda, Seattle Genetics, Trillium Therapeutics, and Verastem/SecuraBio

T-cell Lymphomas

- Background
- Upfront Chemotherapy Approaches
 - Dose Intensification
 - Patient Selection
 - Adding to a CHOP Backbone
- Therapies for Relapsed PTCL

T-Cell Lymphoma Is An Uncommon Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma Incidence in US



ACS. Cancer Facts and Figures 2022. Harris. JCO. 1999;17:3835.

"Heterogeneous and Poor Prognosis"

<u>Leukemic</u>

- T-cell PLL
- T-cell LGL leukemia
- Chronic LPDs of NK cells
- Aggressive NK-cell leukemia
- ATLL
- Systemic EBV+T-cell lymphoma of childhood
- Hydroa vacciniforme-like lymphoproliferative disorder

<u>Cutaneous</u>

- MF/Sezary Syndrome
- Primary cut CD30+ LPD
- LyP, pcALCL
- Primary cut $\gamma\delta$ TCL
- Primary cut CD8+ aggressive epidermotropic cytotoxic TCL
- Primary cut acral CD8+TCL
- Primary cut CD4+ small/medium T-cell LPD

<u>Nodal</u>

- PTCL-NOS
- AITL (angioimmunoblastic)
- Follicular T-cell lymphoma
- Nodal PTCL with TFH phenotype
- ALCL, ALK-positive
- ALCL, ALK-negative

Extranodal

- Extranodal NK/TCL, nasal type
- Enteropathy-associated TCL
- Monomorphic epitheliotropic intestinal T-cell lymphoma
- Indolent T-cell proliferative disorder of the GI tract
- Subcut. panniculitis-like TCL
- Hepatosplenic TCL
- Breast implant-associated ALCL

Most common Less common Rare

Swerdlow SH, et al. *Blood.* 2016;127:2375-2390.

Prognosis with Standard Therapy and Dose Intensification

Non-ALCL Subtypes Have Poor Outcomes With Standard CHOP-Like Regimens

PFS by Subtype in Swedish Registry



Rate	e, %	AITL	PTCL NOS	EATL	TCL U
5-yr	OS	31.6	28.1	20.4	24.6
5-yr	PFS	20.4	21.3	17.6	15.1

Slide credit: <u>clinicaloptions.com</u>

Ellin. Blood. 2014; 124:1570.

PTCL Entities by Therapeutic Strategy (Chemotherapy)

CHOP based not recommended

- Extranodal NK/TCL, nasal type
- Hepatosplenic TCL
- T-cell PLL
- T-cell LGL leukemia
- MF/Sezary Syndrome
- Primary cutaneous CD₃o+ LPD
- LyP, pcALCL
- Primary cutaneous acral CD8+ TCL
- Primary cutaneous CD₄+ small/medium T-cell LPD
- Indolent T-cell of the GI tract
- Chronic LPDs of NK cells
- Aggressive NK-cell leukemia
- ATLL
- Systemic EBV+TCL f childhood
- Hydroa vacciniforme-like LPD

CHOP based therapy *Reasonable*

- PTCL-NOS
- AITL (angioimmunoblastic)
- Follicular T-cell lymphoma
- Nodal PTCL with TFH phenotype
- ALCL, ALK-positive
- ALCL, ALK-negative
- Enteropathy-associated TCL
- Monomorphic epitheliotropic intestinal T-cell lymphoma

Other- very limited data

- Primary cutaneous $\gamma\delta$ TCL
- Primary cutaneous CD8+ aggressive epidermotropic cytotoxic TCL
- Subcut. panniculitis-like TCL
- Breast implant-associated ALCL

Possible Improvement (and Toxicity) with Addition of Etoposide? German Prospective High-Grade NHL Studies



PTCL Subtype	n
ALCL, ALK+	78
ALCL, ALK-	113
PTCL-NOS	70
AITL	28
Other	31
Total	320

Study B1: Patients 18-60 years of age^[1]

12

Grade 3/4 AE, %	CHOP-21	CHOP-14	CHOEP-21	CHOEP-14	P Value
Leukocytopenia	34.1	33.6	73.6	72.5	< .001
Thrombocytopenia	2.4	1.2	7.0	22.2	< .001
Anemia	3.6	5.6	8.5	35.4	< .001
Infection	1.8	4.2	4.4	5.2	.390
Mucositis	2.9	3.0	1.6	6.9	.048

Study B2: Patients 61-75 years of age^[2]

Grade 3/4 AE, %	CHOP-21	CHOP-14	CHOEP-21	CHOEP-14	P Value
Leukocytopenia	72.1	70.1	94.4	92.4	< .001
Thrombocytopenia	4.7	15.1	28.4	50.8	< .001
Anemia	12.5	19.5	28.7	45.1	< .001
Infection	8.0	10.6	13.2	24.1	< .001
Mucositis	0.0	7.1	4.9	14.3	< .001

Schmitz N, et al. Blood. 2010;116:3418-3425.

1. Pfreundschuh. Blood. 2004;104:626. 2. Pfreundschuh. Blood. 2004;104:634.

Upfront Transplant in PTCL



d'Amore F et al. *J Clin Onc*. 2012;30:3093-3099.

Adding to a CHOP Backbone

ECHELON-2 Study Design (NCT01777152)



Horwitz S et al. *Lancet.* 2019; 393:229-240.

PFS per BICR, ASCT or RT consolidation not an event

Echelon-2 Trial 5-Year Results: PFS (INV Assessment) and OS



Horwitz et al ASH 2020 a1150

Summary of PFS and OS per Investigator (PTCL-NOS and AITL)



17

Horwitz et al ASH 2021 a1150

Romidepsin Plus CHOP vs CHOP in Previously Untreated PTCL Efficacy





Ro-CHOP: PFS by independent RAC (ITT Population)*

1.0-

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0

210

211 129 92

PFS, median

(95% CI), mo

HR

(95% CI)

P value

12 18

СНОР

Ro-CHOP: OS (ITT Population)



Bachy E, et al. ASH 2020. Abstract 39.

These materials are provided to you solely as an educational resource for your personal use. Any commercial use or distribution of these materials or any portion thereof is strictly prohibited.

23 29

Months from Randomization

Ro-CHOP

(n = 211)

12.0

(9.0-25.8)

21 13 10

0.81

(0.63 - 1.04)

0.096

77 58

Ro-CHOP Additional toxicity, Unselected patients

Subgroup Analysis of PFS (ITT Population)

Dose Reductions and Interruptions

≥ 1 TEAE Dose Modification, n (%)	Ro-CHOP (n = 210)	CHOP (n = 208)
Romi red	77 (37)	NA
Romi interrupt	132 (63)	NA
Romi DC	17 (8)	NA
CHOP red	54 (26)	31 (15)
CHOP interrupt	75 (36)	42 (20)
Completed All 6 Cycles w/o Red or Inter, n (%)	Ro-CHOP (n = 210)	CHOP (n = 208)
Romi	62 (30)	NA
СНОР	112 (53)	125 (60)

		Ro-CHOP, n/n	CHOP, n/n				
Overall		122/211	129/210				
Deceline IDI	< 2	12/36	19/43				
Baseline Pr	≥ 2	110/175	110/167				
	≤ 60 y	37/73	40/72			-	
Age	> 60 y	85/138	89/138				
	Nodal	110/188	114/189				
INOUAL VS EIN	EN	12/23	15/21 -				
	PTCL-NOS	41/59	42/68				
Histology	AITL	53/101	57/94				
	ALK- sALCL	13/21	9/21				// _3.7
	Other	15/30	21/27 -				
Sex	Women	48/86	39/74				
	Men	74/125	90/136				
			0.00	0.50	1.00	1.50	2.00
			Favors Ro-0	CHOP H	R (95% CI)	Favors Cl	HOP

• Bachy E, et al. ASH 2020. Abstract 39.

These materials are provided to you solely as an educational resource for your personal use. Any commercial use or distribution of these materials or any portion thereof is strictly prohibited.

CHOP or CEOP + X: Do Subgroups Benefit From Targeted Therapy?

Novel Agent	Population	Outcome	Group With Benefit
Lenalidomide	AITL aged 60-80 yr	Complete metabolic response not improved*	Yes
Pralatrexate	PTCL-NOS, AITL, ALCL	PFS and OS not improved*	No
Romidepsin	PTCL (all subtypes)	PFS, ORR, OS not improved	Νο
Alemtuzumab	PTCL age 61-80 yr	Increased response rates, OS not improved	No
Brentuximab vedotin	70% ALCL, other PTCL	Improved PFS and OS	ALCL
Azacitidine	PTCL-TFH (81%)	Produced sustained remission; positive	PTCL-TFH

*Compared with historic controls.

Lemonnier. Blood Adv. 2021;5:539. Advani. Br J Haematol. 2016;172:535. Bachy. JCO 2022;40:242. Wulf. Leukemia 2021;35:143. Horwitz. Lancet. 2019; 393:229. Ruan. ASH 2021. Abstr 138.



PTCL genetics and molecular pathogenesis



Deregulated pathways

- Epigenetics
- TCR signaling
- JAK/STAT pathway
- Immune evasion
- Cell cycle

- Gene expression signatures correlate with subtypes
- Heterogeneous landscape of somatic mutations
 - Few highly recurrent mutations, a few variants characteristic of certain entities (RHOA G17V-AITL)
 - A higher number of genes mutated at low frequency

• Genomic imbalances:

- Gene expression deregulation and mutation-induced activation or inactivation
- Novel gene fusions
 - Several gene partners, activation (or inactivation) of the gene
 - Overall low frequency
 - ALK-1,DUSP22, VAV1, TP63, TYK2, ROS1, JAK2, CD28-ICOS, CD28-CTLA4, CARD11-PIK3R3

Genetic Profiling of PTCL-NOS

- N=133 PTCL cases
- 80% carried ≥1 mutation
- 52% had ≥1 CNA/SV
- 49 "recurrently" altered genes (found in ≥ 3 cases)
- But only 10 were affected in more ≥5% of the cases



Watatani Y, et al. *Leukemia,* 2019;134(24):2159-2170

Adding to a CHOP backbone in untreated PTCL

- ALCL
 - BV-CHP-Overall survival benefit
 - standard of care
- PTCL-NOS, AITL
 - CD30 expressing -Subset size precludes statistical conclusions
 - BV and/or Etoposide -*might* add to CHOP (Schmitz et al Blood 2010; Herrera et al ASH 2021)
 - Imperfect datasets
 - Other agents no clear benefit
 - Inadequate efficacy
 - One size fits all for a heterogenous group
 - Increased toxicity
 - Limited to no data in other less common subtypes
 - Adding an active drug to CHOP <u>AND</u> Enriching for those most likely to benefit <u>AND</u> no significant increase in toxicity-can be successful-but this is hard

Relapsed T-cell Lymphoma

Allogeneic SCT can be Curative: Depth of Remission at Time of Transplant Matters



"Standard" Agents in R/R PTCL

Agent	Mechanism	Response	Median DOR (m)
belinostat	HDAC inhibitor	ORR: 25.8% CR: 10.8%	13.6
brentuximab vedotin	CD30 ADC	ALCL: ORR: 86% CR: 57% PTCL/AITL: ORR: 41% CR: 24%	25.6 7.6
pralatrexate	DHFR inhibitor	ORR: 29% CR: 10%	10
Romidepsin*	HDAC inhibitor	ORR: 25-38% CR: 15-18%	8.9 – 17

*Accelerated approval withdrawn by Bristol Myers Squibb

*

(O'Connor et al., JCO 2015; Pro et al., JCO 2012; Horwitz et al., Blood 2014; O'Connor et al., JCO 2011; Piekarz et al., Blood 2011; Coiffier et al., JCO 2012; Brammer et al., ASH 2021: Poster 2456)

Progression Free Survival: Relapsed/Refractory PTCL



Mak V et al. JCO 2013;31:1970-1976, O' Connor OA, et al. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011;29:1182-1189,Coiffier B, et al. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012;30 :631-636, O'Connor OA et al ASCO 2013, Pro B, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30:2190-2196, Horwitz S M et al. Blood 2014;123:3095-3100

Other Emerging Therapies in PTCL

- Jak inhibitors
- Pi₃K inhibitors-Duvelisib
- EZH 1/2 inhibitors-Valemetostat
- Immune Therapies-very early
 - Bispecifics
 - CD16/CD30 (NCT04101331)
 - PD-1-CD3 (NCT05079282)
 - Anti-CD47 antibody (magrolimab) + mogamulizumab: (NCT04541017)
 - CD5.CARTT-cell: phase I ongoing (NCT03081910)
 - Allogeneic anti-CD70 CAR T-cells (CTX130): (NCT04502446)
 - CD30 CAR T-cells co-expressing CCR4: (NCT03602157)

Peripheral T-cell lymphoma - first-line treatment and beyond

- PTCL remains heterogeneous and poor prognosis, however:
 - Cures for some with combination chemotherapy
 - Majority do not respond or relapse after initial therapy
 - Many subtypes no standard approach
 - Remains high unmet need
- Attempts to incorporate into combination/upfront/curative therapy
 - Active agents
 - Enriched population + minimize toxicity
 - Subtype specific strategies: Disease subtypes, molecular subtypes, other
- Newer Approaches
 - Epigenetic therapies, signaling targets, immune therapy
 - Need more therapies, More effective therapies



Dr. Martin Pule

Introduction to AUTO4 and AUTO5

Autolus.com

31

AUTO4 and AUTO5 for Peripheral T-Cell Lymphoma

T-Cell Lymphoma is an aggressive disease with a very poor prognosis

- A large portion of T-Cell Lymphoma patients are refractory/relapse following first-line treatment (68%)³
- Standard of care is variable and often based on high-dose chemotherapy and stem cell transplants:
 - $-\,$ Median 5 yrs OS: 32% 1
- Relapsed/refractory patients have a worse prognosis
 - Median PFS approximately 3 months/ Median OS < 6 months^{2,3}
- Brentuximab survival benefit restricted to CD30 positive ALCL subtype⁴
 - approx. 12% of total PTCL patient population^{4,5}
- T cell lymphoma has not benefited from advances in immunotherapy
 - Pan T-cell depletion highly toxic; few/no tumor-specific antigen targets



*Japan, US and EU5 (DRG Epidemiology Data)

32

Mature T cells express either TRBC1 or TRBC2

T-Cell Lymphomas are also clonal and express either TRBC1 or TRBC2



TRBC1/TRBC2 targeting approach applicable to 95% of TCL subtypes

Distribution of cases by subtype



Subtypes that are TRBC1 or TRBC2 positive

- High and homogeneous expression of TRBC1 or TRBC2 is seen in the majority of TCL subsets 95% of cases
- TRBC1 and TRBC2 will not be expressed in NK cell lymphomas or rare gamma delta t-cell lymphomas
- Other potential TCL targets:
 - Highly restricted in their expression
 - High and homogenous expression of CD30 is restricted to ALCL subset 12% of cases
 - Widely expressed on normal T cells and therefore come with a significant risk of toxicities

33

Development of TRBC1 and TRBC2 selective antibodies

TRBC1 and TRBC2 proteins are very similar

Our antibodies are designed to selective target the NK-KN inversion at position 4/5

		NK-KN 4/5	F-Y 36	
TRBC1	1	EDL <mark>NK</mark> VFPPEVAVFEPSEAE	ISHTQKATLVCLATGFEPDHVELSW	IWVNGK
TRBC2	1	EDL <mark>KN</mark> VFPPEVAVFEPSEAEI	ISHTQKATLVCLATGFYPDHVELSW	IWVNGK
TRBC1	51	EVHSGVSTDPOPLKEOPALNI	DSRYCLSSRLRVSATFWONPRNHFR	COVOF
TRBC2	51	EVHSGVSTDPÕPLKEÕPALNI	DSRYCLSSRLRVSATFWQNPRNHFF	COVOF
TRBC1	101	YGLSENDEWTQDRAKPVTQI	VSAEAWGRADCGFTS<mark>V</mark>SYQQGVLSA	T
TRBC2	101	YGLSENDEWTQDRAKPVTQI	VSAEAWGRADCGFTS <mark>E</mark> SYQQGVLSA	T
			V-E 135	

TRBC1 and TRBC2 discrimination on tumour cell lines and in healthy peripheral blood T cells



Fluorescence



Maciocia et al., Nature Medicine, 2017 and Ferrari et al., in press 2022

Development of αTRBC1 and αTRBC2 CAR T cells

Demonstration of in vitro and in vivo selectivity and activity against TRBC1 and TRBC2 target cells



Three key elements to address T-Cell Lymphomas



36

Companion Diagnostic

Multiple approaches de-risked for development

Next Generation Sequencing



T cell clonality NGS assay currently used in AUTO4 Phase 1





FFPE specific antibodies can discriminate between TRBC1 and TRBC2 patient tumors

Flow Cytometry



TRBC1 positive T-cell Prolymphocytic Leukemia



TRBC2 positive small Sezary cell cutaneous T-Cell Lymphoma

Flow specific antibodies can discriminate between TRBC1 and TRBC2 in patient tumors

Autelus

Dr. Kate Cwynarski



Phase 1/2 Study of AUTO4 in r/r TRBC1 Positive Peripheral T-cell Lymphomas



Private & confidential

Autolus.com

Study design



- Part A: Lymphoma tissue screening for TRBC1 or TRBC2 expression using NGS
- Part B: Study screening for patients determined to have TRBC1+ Lymphoma

Baseline Characteristics

AUTO4 findings / clinical data

	Total (N=10)
Age, median (range)	55 (34 – 63)
Median prior lines of treatment (range)	3 (1 – 5)
 Stage of Lymphoma at screening I/II III/IV 	2 (20%) 8 (80%)
 Lymphoma Subtype, n (%) Peripheral T-cell lymphoma NOS Anaplastic large cell lymphoma, ALK-negative Angioimmunoblastic T cell lymphoma (AITL) 	5 (50%) 1 (10%) 4 (40%)
Prior Autologous Stem Cell Transplant, n (%)	3 (30%)
ECOG 0/1, n (%)	3 (30%), 7 (70%)
Bridging therapy YES, n (%)	7 (70%)

Data Cut-off: 26 April 2022

Key Safety Data

AUTO4 findings / clinical data

	Cohort 1 25x10 ⁶ cells (N = 3)	Cohort 2 75x10 ⁶ cells (N = 2)	Cohort 3 225x10 ⁶ cells (N = 1)	Cohort 4 450x10 ⁶ cells (N = 4)	Total (N = 10)
Dose Limiting Toxicity (DLT)	0	0	0	0	0
Grade 3 or 4 TEAE within 60 days	3 (100%)	2 (100%)	1 (100%)	4 (100%)	10 (100%)
Neutropenia	3 (100%)	2 (100%)	0	3 (75%)	8 (80%)
Infections and Infestations	0	0	0	0	0
Serious TEAE	2 (67%)	0	0	2 (50%)	4 (40%)
Any grade CRS	0	0	0	4 (100%)	4 (40%)
Grade 3 CRS	0	0	0	1 (25%)	1 (10%)
Any grade ICANS	0	0	0	0	0

Safety set is all infused (n=10)

TEAE - Treatment-emergent adverse events; CRS - cytokine release syndrome; ICANS - Immune Effect Cell-Associated Neurotoxicity Syndrome

Initial data encouraging

All patients treated at highest dose level had a complete metabolic response



All patients had relapsed/refractory disease at time of Part B screening and enrolment.

* Patient was PET-negative at the start of pre-conditioning therapy.

PET scans for patient given 450 x 10⁶ CAR T cells

Patient refractory to prior therapies achieved a metabolic complete response







Baseline

Day 28 post-infusion

3 months post-infusion

43

Data Cutoff: 26 April 2022

CAR T cells detected in lymph node but not in peripheral blood

- CAR T cells detected in a lymph node biopsy of a patient who achieved complete remission
 - Approx 2% nucleated cells in lymph node are CAR T cells (n=1)¹
- No CAR T expansion detected by PCR or flow in peripheral blood



Double staining for CAR T cell (red) and CD3 (black) x40 IHC view (deconvoluted)¹

Autolus.com



Dr. Martin Pule

AUTO4 Summary and Next Steps

Autolus.com

AUTO4 summary and next steps

- AUTO4 treatment generally well tolerated
- Early efficacy is encouraging, particularly with all patients responding at higher dose levels
- CAR T-cells detected in lymph node but no expansion observed in peripheral blood
- Study ongoing, with additional patients due to be treated to define recommended Phase 2 dose





Dr. Martin Pule

obe-cel life cycle management



Autolus.com

obe-cel has a unique mechanism of action



Improved potency, reduced toxicity

Avoids over-activation of CAR T cells -> Reduced toxicities

Increased CAR T peak expansion -> Improved persistence

Avoids exhaustion of CAR T cells -> Improved engraftment -> Improved persistence • Fast off-rate



• Enhanced cytotoxicity and proliferation





48

Capitalising on the unique profile of obe-cel

- Clinical data supports differentiated product profile
 - High degree of activity and persistence -> drives long term outcomes
 - Potential best-in-class safety profile -> will drive adoption of obe-cel in all clinical settings
 - Initial NHL data is consistent with this profile

• Solid foundation for onward development

P	RODUCT	INDICATION	TARGET	STUDY NAME	PHASE
ol	be-cel	Adult ALL	CD19	FELIX	Pivotal
ol	be-cel	B-NHL & CLL	CD19	ALLCAR19*	Phase 1
ol	be-cel	Primary CNS Lymphoma	CD19	CAROUSEL*	Phase 1
A	UT01/22	Pediatric ALL	CD19 & CD22	CARPALL*	Phase 1
	B Cell Malignancies	l i con constant da con d			* Collaboration with UCL

obe-cel shows encouraging efficacy and duration of response in NHL/CLL

Long term persistence drives durable outcomes

	N (%)
Follicular Lymphoma CR + PR CR	7 (100%) 7 (100%) 1 death in CR from Covid19
DLBCL	6 (84%)
CR + PR	6 (84%)
CR	1
SD	1 PD at day 37
MCL	3 (100%)
CR + PR	3 (100%)
CR	1 relapse at 6 months
CLL/SLL CR + PR PD Pending	2 PR (67%) (BM MRD-neg.) 1 2
dian (Range) I	Follow-Up Time:
FL/DLBCL: 9	.2 Months (Range 1.9-19.1)
MCL/CLL: 8.	9 Months (Range 0.0-19.7)

Median (Ra

- FL/DLE
- MCL/C

DLBCL* = transformed follicular lymphoma

18

Autolus.com

Data Cut-off: 12-May-2022

Primary CNS Lymphoma: favorable tolerability profile

- No grade high grade CRS was observed using IV or intraventricular obe-cel administration
- 2 cases of grade 3 ICANS were reported following IV infusion
 - 1 patient improved with steroids / toci
 - 1 patient had several neurological deficits consistent with progressive disease and didn't respond to steroids / toci
- 2 patients died from progressive PCNSL

Adverse Events of Special Interest

Event N = 6 patients	All Grades n (%)	Grade 1 n (%)	Grade 2 n (%)	Grade 3 n (%)	Grade 4 n (%)
CRS*	6	2	4**	0	0
ICANS	3	1	0	2	0

*CRS grading by grading by ASTCT consensus criteria, Lee et al 2019 **Grade 2 CRS in 3 patients with IV AUTO1 and 1 patient with I-VEN AUTO1

obe-cel shows excellent T cell expansion and engraftment

Persistence of obe-cel demonstrated by qPCR



CAR, chimeric antigen receptor; VCN, vector copy number; qPCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction

52

obe-cel shows encouraging initial efficacy and durability in PCNSL

Overall response rate was 4/6 (67%) and these patients are without disease progression at last follow up

						N (%)					
			CR + CR PR	· PR		4 (67% 2 (33% 2 (33%)) (1 SD) (1 SD	-> CR) -> PR)			
		•		•			•				
		•		٠							
s (n-6		 	\$	•			×				
atient		<u>د</u>	×	×							
å		•									
	0	1	2	3	4 Months	5 from Ir	6 nfusion	7	8	9	10
				×	Theme PD SD Death Patient	2	♦●	Theme 1 PR CR Dose 2			

Median Follow-Up Time: 4.7 Months (Range 1-10)



Disease assessment by MRI imaging

Autolus.com

Summary and next steps for obe-cel

Building a franchise through broad applicability

- Favorable and consistent safety profile demonstrated across all indications
- ✓ Of patients evaluable for efficacy across MCL, DLBCL, FL and CLL the ORR was 18/20 (90%)
- ✓ In the B-NHL cohorts, the CRR was 16/17 (94%)
- In the CLL cohort a best response of a PR was achieved in 2/3 patients, notably both achieved MRD-negativity in their marrow and both remain in PR at 10 and 6 months respectively
- Of the responding MCL, DLBCL, FL and CLL patients, 17/18 (94%) are without disease progression at last follow-up
- Encouraging initial data in PCNSL with 4/6 patients (2 CR and 2 PR) in ongoing responses at last follow up



• Longer follow-up and enrolment of additional MCL, FL, DLBCL and CLL/SLL patients is ongoing

AUTO1/22: Pediatric Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia

CD19 negative antigen escape is a common cause of treatment failure

CARPALL Study				
n	14			
CR Rate	86%			
EFS 12m	52% (95% Cl, 16% to 72%)			
No. of CD19 negative relapses	5/6			
CRS ≥ G3	0%			

- obe-cel (AUTO1) in r/r pALL is highly active and has a favourable safety profile - CARPALL study^{1,2}
- Medical need in pALL is to minimize rates of antigen-loss– driven relapses and improve long-term outcomes – points to need for a dual targeting CAR T



AUTO1/22 is being evaluated in Phase 1 in r/r paediatric patients

AUTO1/22 in pediatric Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia

Patient characteristics

Total Median age at registration	n=11 (%) 12 yrs (range = 3.7-20.5)
Indication Post SCT relapse 1st relapse 2 nd relapse >2 nd relapse Median number of lines of prior Rx Prior Inotuzumab/Blinatumomab Prior CD19 CAR T cell therapy CD19-ve disease	<pre>6 (55%, 3 isolated extramedullary) 2 (18%) 8 (73%) 1 (9%) 3 (range 2-6) 6 (55%) 4 (36%) 3 (27%)</pre>
BM status pre-lymphodepletion Morphological relapse (>5% blasts) MRD 2-5% MRD 10 ⁻² -10 ⁻⁵ MRD negative	4 (36% + 1 NE with 6% mol MRD) 1 (9%) 3 (27%) 2 (18%)

None eligible for Kymriah (4 previous Kymriah, 5 EM disease, 3 CD19-ve component at enrolment)

57

AUTO1/22 in pediatric Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia

Product characteristics

- Reproducible generation of products balanced in CD19 vs CD22 CAR
- Products have predominantly central memory and stem cell memory phenotype
- AUTO1/22 shows excellent T cell expansion and engraftment in patients

Safety Data

- AUTO1/22 shows favourable safety profile in patients
 - with no severe CRS, and one Grade 4 ICANS with atypical features

Criteria	N=11 N (%)
CRS Maximum Grade (ASTCT)	
Grade 1	4 (36%)
Grade 2	6 (55%)
Grade 3-4	0
ICANS Maximum Grade (ASTCT)	
Grade 1	4 (36%)
Grade 2	1 (9%)
Grade 3	0
Grade 4 (MRI leukoencephalopathy)	1 (9%)

58

AUTO1/22 in pediatric Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia

Efficacy data



Total	N=11
Molecular MRD neg CR/Cri by d60	9 (82%)
Disease progression	2 (18%)
Events in responders	3
Emergence of molecular MRD	1
CD19+/CD22+ relapse	2

- No antigen-ve relapse was seen in responding patients
- At median follow up of 8.7 months, 6 of 9 responding patients were in MRD-ve complete response (1-12 mo)

Phase 1 study in r/r pALL ongoing

Initial data presented at EHA, June 2022

- ✓ CD19/CD22 targeting CAR T cells generated by co-transduction show robust expansion and persistence
- ✓ Favorable safety profile demonstrated, with no severe CRS
- Demonstration of efficacy of CD22 CAR
- Early efficacy in a heavily pre-treated cohort with 9/11 (82%) MRD negative CR rate
- To date with limited follow-up we have not observed antigen negative relapse



• Longer follow-up data H2 2022



Dr. Christian Itin

Summary



Autolus.com

Autolus poised for potential value inflection

obe-cel potential pivotal data in adult ALL in 2022

• obe-cel

- FELIX Phase 2 study in adult ALL ongoing; initial data expected in H2 2022 and full data in H1 2023
- Evaluation in r/r B-NHL and CLL ongoing
- Evaluation in Primary CNS Lymphoma ongoing
- AUTO1/22
 - AUTO1/22 Phase 1 (CARPALL) study in pediatric ALL ongoing
 - Longer term follow-up data in H2 2022
- AUTO4 /AUTO5
 - AUTO4 Phase 1 (LibrA T1) study in Peripheral T-Cell Lymphoma ongoing
- Pipeline transitioning to Phase 1 in 2022
 - AUTO8 Phase 1 study has started
 - AUTO6NG in Neuroblastoma start Phase 1 H2 2022







Private & confidential

Autolus.com